



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,397	02/28/2002	Mark B. Nordstrom	26/1164US	6730	
22822	7590 02/06/20		EXAMINER		
	CE & FINGERSH,	BREVARD, MAERENA W			
ATTN: BOን 500 NORTH	K IP DEPT. I BROADWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 2000		3727	5		
ST LOUIS, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/085,39	10/085,397 NORDSTROM, MARK B.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit			
		Maerena W		3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute or the reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no everication. lays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will l, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 May 2003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449) Der No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/085,397

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison et al. in view of Essl.

Morrison discloses a pivoting shoulder strap (20) for a backpack comprising a shoulder strap (24) having a first end adapted to be connected to the backpack and a pivoting mechanism (26, figure 14) on the second end of the shoulder strap comprising a base plate (38) and retaining plate (36) retained within the base plate, but does not teach a retaining plate positioned within the backpack and mated to the pivot mechanism. However, Essl discloses a retaining plate (4, figure 2) positioned within the backpack. It would have been obvious to provide a retaining plate as taught by Essl to the backpack of Morrison. Doing so would provide reinforcement for the mechanism at the attachment point.

Regarding claims 3, 6, 9, 12, 15-17, and 19, the base plate comprises a clip (58) and apertures (68) positioned around the clip (Figure 9), the pivoting plate comprise an aperture (44) adapted to fit within the clip of the base plate and a tongue portion(48) having a tab (52) for mating with the apertures in the base plate (Figures 5-8).

Art Unit: 3727

,

Regarding claims 5 and 18, the pivoting plate has a pair of screw holes (46) adapted for receiving screws and the retaining plate has a pair of screw holes (68) adapted for receiving a screw, to the same degree claimed.

Regarding claim 13, the base plate has an outer retaining ring (70).

Regarding claim 20, the base plate and the pivoting plate are made of high density polypropylene, a polymeric material (Column 5, lines 1-3 and column 4, lines 49-51).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kahlow is cited for a pack having a retaining plate.

4. This action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maerena W. Brevard whose telephone number is 703/305-0037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 8:00 AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703/308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 3727

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maerena Brevard January 30, 2004

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700